



REDCAR TOWN DEAL BOARD
FRIDAY 13 JANUARY 2023 AT 10:00AM
COUNCIL CHAMBER, CIVIC CENTRE, RIDLEY STREET, REDCAR,
YORKSHIRE TS10 1TD

CONTACT
Mr David Boville
(01642) 444617
4 January 2023

MEMBERSHIP

Darren Winter (Chair), John Anthony, Andrew Carter, Jason Faulkner, Councillor Chris Gallacher, Stella Hall, Councillor Mary Lanigan, Jonah Morris, Matt Parsons, John Sampson, Sarah Walker and Jacob Young MP.

A G E N D A

- | | <u>Pages</u> |
|---|--------------|
| 1. Welcome, Introductions and Apologies for Absence. | |
| 2. Declarations of Any Other Business. | |
| 3. Minutes of the Previous Meeting: <ul style="list-style-type: none">a. Agreement of minutes as a true recordb. Matters arising not covered elsewhere on the agenda | |
| 4. Declarations of Interest in relation to items to be discussed at the meeting of 13 January 2023. | 2-6 |
| 5. Board Leadership. | |
| Topics for Discussion | |
| 6. Programme Dashboard. | To follow |
| 7. Station Road Interim Housing Report. | presentation |
| 8. Communications. | To follow |
| 9. Any Other Business. | |
| 10. Date and Time of Next Meeting:

Wednesday 1 March 2023, 17:00 | |

Close

Redcar Town Deal Board

HELD AT:	Via Teams	
TIME & DATE:	2 November 2022, 17:00-19:30	
PRESENT	Darren Winter, Redcar and Cleveland Business Network (Chair)	(DW)
	Jenny Archer, Archway Leisure Ltd	(JA)
	Andrew Carter, Redcar & Cleveland Borough Council	(AC)
	Jason Faulkner, Redcar & Cleveland College	(JF)
	Councillor Chris Gallacher, Redcar & Cleveland Borough Council	(CG)
	Malcolm Horne, Alpek Polyesters	(MH)
	Councillor Mary Lanigan, Redcar & Cleveland Borough Council	(ML)
	Jonah Morris, Sustrans	(JM)
	John Sampson, Managing Director, Redcar & Cleveland Borough Council	(JS)
	Sarah Walker, Tees Valley Combined Authority	(SW)
	Jacob Young, MP for Redcar	(JY)
IN ATTENDANCE	Louise Anderson, Redcar & Cleveland Borough Council	(LA)
	David Boville, Redcar & Cleveland Borough Council	(DB)
	Mark Hopgood, Redcar & Cleveland Borough Council	(MHop)
	Robert Smith, Redcar & Cleveland Borough Council	(RS)
	Clare Stanton, Redcar & Cleveland Borough Council	(CSta)
	Chris Styles, Redcar & Cleveland Borough Council	(CSty)
	Adam Suleiman, Department for Business, Energy & Industrial Strategy	(AS)
APOLOGIES	Fran Anderson, Redcar & Cleveland Borough Council	(FA)
	John Anthony, RCVDA	(JA)
	Laura Case, Redcar & Cleveland Borough Council	(LC)
	Rosemary Du Rose, Beyond Housing	(RdR)
	Sarah Gilding, Redcar & Cleveland Borough Council	(SG)
	Stella Hall, Festival of Thrift	(SH)
	Matt Parsons, Anglo American	(MP)
	Phil Winstanley, Redcar & Cleveland Borough Council	(PW)

		ACTIONS
1	<u>WELCOME AND APOLOGIES</u>	
1.1	DW welcomed everyone to the meeting and introductions were made. Apologies for absence were received as above.	
2	<u>DECLARATIONS OF ANY OTHER BUSINESS</u>	
2.1	DW reminded Board Members to complete and return their declarations of interests forms as soon as possible.	
3	<u>MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING</u>	
3.1	<u>3a. Agreement of minutes as a true record</u> The minutes of the previous meeting were accepted as a true and accurate record of the meeting, with the clarification that under minute number 11.1 the active travel hubs would be located in each of the 5 local authority areas that formed the TVCA. Proposer, Jonah Morris Secunder, Mary Lanigan	
3.2	<u>3b. Matters arising not covered elsewhere on the agenda</u> No other matters arising were discussed.	
4	<u>DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST</u>	
4.1	<u>Declarations in relation to items to be discussed at the meeting of 2 November 22</u> ML declared an interest in the programme dashboard as she sat on TVCA which would make decisions on budgets which could affect Town Deal projects.	
4.2	SW declared an interest in the programme dashboard as an employee of TVCA but advised that she was not involved in the assessment or decision on Coatham Leisure Quarter.	
5	<u>GOVERNANCE - BOARD MEMBER APPOINTMENT</u>	
5.1	DW advised that his term as chair was due to come to an end and that he was intending to stand for a second term. Board Members who also wished to be considered for the role of chair were invited to submit an expression of interest by Friday 18 November 2022.	
5.2	DW advised that RdR would be stepping down from the board. Board Members who wished to be considered for the role of vice chair were also invited to submit an expression of interest.	
5.3	DW advised that MH would be stepping down from the Board. MH had suggested a colleague who may be appropriate to take his place. As the Board appreciated the work of Alpek Polyester as a key partner, the Board agreed that DW would meet with the potential new board member.	DW

5.4	The Board thanked RdR and MH for their hard work and dedication to the Town Deal Programme.	
5.5	DW advised that an informal get-together would be organised between the members of the Town Deal and Place Boards.	LA
6	<u>PROGRAMME DASHBOARD</u>	
6.1	MHop presented a high-level update on each of the Town Deal projects. This would now be presented at every future Board meeting. The report highlighted that programme delivery was on track but there were risks relating to project costs and inflation. It was felt that the majority of savings needed could be achieved through value engineering.	
6.2	SW advised that the TVCA funding approval for the Coatham Leisure Quarter needed to be added to the list of project risks.	MHop
7	<u>COMMUNICATIONS</u>	
7.1	LA reminded Board Members to complete their vlogs as soon as possible. Members who did not wish to create a vlog could instead submit a photo and a pen portrait for inclusion on the Town Deal Website. The communications plan was due to be circulated in December.	
7.2	DW reminded Board Members to also submit their contact list of groups or key individuals that the Town Deal Board should be engaging with.	
7.3	MH suggested that the Board could create a trailer to be played before movie screenings at the Regent.	
7.4	JA highlighted the need for high impact signage in areas of high footfall. Digital signage would reduce printing costs and allow for up to date information to be displayed in key locations such as schools and colleges, the Regent or the Civic Centre. LA advised that this could be looked at as part of the communications plan, however this would need to be undertaken in a cost effective manner.	LA
8	<u>STEERING GROUP UPDATES – CULTURE & LEISURE , TOWN CENTRE</u>	
8.1	<u>Culture & Leisure Steering Group</u> MH advised that there had been no issues with the proposals for Newcomen Terrace, the property owners were in agreement all that remained was for the colour options to be agreed. A tender was expected to be submitted early next year. The Coatham Leisure Quarter had been submitted for planning permission and there were very few objections received, these were primarily relating to parking provision and the use of containers in the construction. The parking situation would be alleviated with the development on the former Coatham Bowl site.	

8.2	MH advised that the results of the consultation on the Culture & Leisure anchor attraction was that while the public were generally 54% of those consulted did not like the design on the esplanade side. While there were only 286 participants in the consultation and eth demographic was primarily aged 50+, the Steering Group had felt that the Board needed to make a decision as to whether a further consultation exercise should be undertaken, a redesign of the esplanade frontage should be undertaken based on public feedback currently received or whether the current design should be submitted for planning permission without any changes.	
8.3	The Board was advised that changing the design of the Anchor Attraction at this stage could result in the application missing the planned Regulatory Committee date. This could result in a significant delay in gaining planning approval due to the period of Purdah surrounding the local elections in May 2023 and therefore costs of the construction could be significantly increased as a result of inflation.	
8.4	The Board agreed that the function of the Anchor Attraction clearly met needs within the town.	
8.5	A number of alternative designs for the exterior of the building had been developed as a result of public feedback. The Board agreed that a number of the proposals appeared more attractive to Members than what was currently proposed.	
8.6	The Board agreed, by majority vote, that as a result of the consultation feedback, the current designs could not be submitted for planning permission.	
8.7	The Board decided that if an alternative design could be agreed upon at this meeting then every effort would be undertaken to submit the revised plans in time to meet the February 2023 Regulatory Committee. If not, then the application would be ready to be considered at the first meeting following the local government elections, reducing delay and potential price increase by up to two months.	
8.8	The Board agreed, that out of the designs presented to them, option 7 best represented the wishes of the Board and the public. The design of the Anchor Attraction would therefore be amended accordingly and submitted for planning permission as soon as possible.	RS
8.9	<p><u>Town Centre Steering Group</u></p> <p>JS advised that the consultation feedback had shown 75% positive views or comments. The Main areas of concern or comment included:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Parking • Accessibility • Loading bays or access for businesses • Green infrastructure and associated maintenance • Loss of parking on Queen Street (mainly replaced by new parking spaces on Station Road). 	
9	<u>REMAINING BUSINESS ON THE AGENDA</u>	
9.1	As the meeting had overrun, the Board requested video updates on the remaining items on the agenda where possible, namely the Town Centre Management Presentation and Design Update.	

10	<u>DATE AND TIME OF NEXT MEETNG</u>	
10.1	It was noted that the next meeting of the Town Deal Board was scheduled to be held on Friday 13 January 2022 at 10:00am.	